arXiv:1303.5346v1 [math.FA] 21 Mar 2013

INVERSE-CLOSED ALGEBRAS OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS ON LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

INGRID BELTIŢĂ AND DANIEL BELTIŢĂ

Abstract. We construct some inverse-closed algebras of bounded integral operators with operator-valued kernels, acting in spaces of vector-valued functions on locally compact groups. To this end we make systematic use of covariance algebras associated to C^*-dynamical systems defined by the abelian C^*-algebras of right uniformly continuous functions with respect to the left regular representation.

1. Introduction

In this short note we construct some inverse-closed algebras of L^2-bounded integral operators on locally compact groups. The method of our investigation is provided by the systematic use of covariance algebras associated to C^*-dynamical systems defined by the abelian C^*-algebras of right uniformly continuous functions with respect to the left regular representation. This method allows us to partly simplify the proofs of some results from the earlier literature and also to obtain some new results.

A new feature of our work is that we deal with operator-valued integral kernels, which define integral operators on spaces of vector-valued functions on locally compact groups. In the case of discrete groups, this allows us to construct inverse-closed algebras of bounded operators defined by block matrices. One of the applications of that special case is that one can enlarge the classes of integral kernels that define inverse-closed algebras on some particular types of non-discrete locally compact groups.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminaries on symmetric involutive Banach algebras and covariance algebras of C^*-dynamical systems. In Section 3 we introduce the main classes of operator-valued integral operators and we investigate the relationship between these kernels and the covariance algebras of certain C^*-dynamical systems. In this section we also obtain our first inverse-closed algebras of integral operators on vector-valued functions on locally compact groups (Theorem 3.8). Eventually, in Section 4 we provide a method for constructing larger inverse-closed algebras of integral operators, and the corresponding result (Theorem 4.8) applies in the situation that we encountered in our earlier paper [BB12].

Date: March 21, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A15; Secondary 43A20.

Key words and phrases. locally compact group; covariance algebra; uniformly continuous function.

2. Preliminaries on symmetric involutive Banach algebras

Definition 2.1. An involutive Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}$ (with continuous involution) is *symmetric* if for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ the spectrum of b^*b is contained in $[0,\infty)$; see for instance [Bi10] for a self-contained account of various characterizations of this property.

A locally compact group G is said to be *rigidly symmetric* if for every C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the projective tensor product $L^1(G)\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric Banach algebra.

It follows by [Po92, Cor. 6] that every nilpotent locally compact group is rigidly symmetric. Moreover, by [LP79, Th. 1], also the compact groups are rigidly symmetric. $\square$

Definition 2.2. A *C^*-dynamical system* $(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$ consists of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with a continuous action of a locally compact group G by automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\alpha\colon G\times\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A},\quad (x,a)\mapsto\alpha_x(a).$$

The corresponding *covariance algebra* $L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$ is the involutive associative Banach algebra obtained from the space of equivalence classes of Bochner integrable $\mathcal{A}$-valued functions on G with the multiplication defined by

$$(f\star h)(x)=\int_G f(y)\alpha_y(h(y^{-1}x))\mathrm{d}y \tag{2.1}$$

and the involution

$$f^*(x)=\Delta(x^{-1})\alpha_x(f(x^{-1})^*) \tag{2.2}$$

for $f,h\in L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$ and almost every $x\in G$. Here $\Delta\colon G\to(0,\infty)$ is the modular function of G. $\square$

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$ be a C^*-dynamical system and $\pi\colon\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ be a faithful $*$-representation of $\mathcal{A}$. The *π-regular representation* of the covariance algebra $L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$ is the continuous $*$-representation $\Pi\colon L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)\to\mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))$ defined by

$$(\Pi(f)\xi)(x)=\int_G \pi(\alpha_{x^{-1}}(f(y)))\xi(y^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}y$$

for $f\in L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$, $\xi\in L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)$, and almost every $x\in G$. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)$ be a C^*-dynamical system. Then there exist a C^*-dynamical system $(\bar{\mathcal{A}},G,\bar\alpha)$ with trivial action $\bar\alpha$ and an isometric $*$-homomorphism $\theta\colon L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)\to L^1(\bar{\mathcal{A}},G,\bar\alpha)$.*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that there exist a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ and a continuous unitary representation $\pi\colon G\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ with $\mathcal{A}\subseteq\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and $\alpha_x(a)=\pi(x)a\pi(x)^{-1}$ for every $a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $x\in G$ (see for instance [Wi07, Ex. 2.14]). Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be the C^*-algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}\cup\pi(G)$ with the trivial action $\bar\alpha$ of G, and define

$$\theta\colon L^1(\mathcal{A},G,\alpha)\to L^1(\bar{\mathcal{A}},G,\bar\alpha),\quad f\mapsto f(\cdot)\pi(\cdot).$$

Since $\pi(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is a unitary operator for every $x \in G$, it follows at once that the mapping θ is an isometry. Moreover, for $f, h \in L^1(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta(f) \star \theta(h))(x) &= \int\limits_G (\theta(f))(y)(\theta(h))(y^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int\limits_G f(y)\pi(y)h(y^{-1}x)\pi(y^{-1}x)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int\limits_G f(y)\pi(y)h(y^{-1}x)\pi(y)^{-1}\mathrm{d}y\pi(x) \\ &= \int\limits_G f(y)\alpha_y(h(y^{-1}x))\mathrm{d}y\pi(x) \\ &= (f \star h)(x)\pi(x) \\ &= (\theta(f \star h))(x).\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(f)^*(x) &= \Delta(x^{-1})((\theta(f))(x^{-1}))^* = \Delta(x^{-1})(f(x^{-1})\pi(x^{-1}))^* \\ &= \Delta(x^{-1})\pi(x)f(x^{-1})^* = \Delta(x^{-1})\alpha_x(f(x^{-1})^*)\pi(x) = (\theta(f^*))(x),\end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$ be a C^*-dynamical system. If the group G is rigidly symmetric, then the covariance algebra $L^1(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$ is a symmetric involutive Banach algebra.*

Proof. It follows by Proposition 2.4 that $L^1(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$ is isometric $*$-isomorphic to a closed involutive subalgebra of $L^1(\bar{\mathcal{A}}, G, \bar{\alpha}) \simeq L^1(G)\widehat{\otimes}\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ for a suitable C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, the involutive Banach algebra $L^1(G)\widehat{\otimes}\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is symmetric since the group G is rigidly symmetric. Now the conclusion follows since any closed involutive subalgebra of a symmetric Banach algebra is in turn symmetric (see for instance [Bi10, Prop. 7.10]). □

Remark 2.6. The result of Proposition 2.4 is actually a by-product of the proof of [Le68, Satz 6]. A special case of this result also occurs in [FGL08, Prop. 2]. Corollary 2.5 answers in the affirmative a question raised in the concluding remarks of [Po92]. □

Notation 2.7. For any unital complex algebra $\mathcal{B}$ we denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the unit element and by $\mathcal{B}^\times$ the group of invertible elements in $\mathcal{B}$. Thus, a unital subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ is inverse-closed if and only if $\mathcal{A}^\times = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$. Note that we always have $\mathcal{A}^\times \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$. □

The following lemma will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.8 and relies neither on Hulanicki's Lemma [Hu72, Prop. 2.5] nor on its later improvements (compare for instance the proof of [FGL08, Th. 2]).

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an involutive symmetric Banach algebra and denote by $\rho_0 \colon \mathcal{A} \to C^*(\mathcal{A})$ the canonical homomorphism into the universal C^*-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Assume that $\rho \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a continuous injective $*$-representation satisfying the following condition:*

- *There exist a faithful* $*$*-representation* $C^*(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, *a complex Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}_2$, *and a unitary operator* $S\colon \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_2$ *such that for every* $a \in \mathcal{A}$ *the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "S"] \arrow[d, "\rho_0(a)"'] & \mathcal{H}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_2 \arrow[d, "\rho(a)\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_2}"] \\
\mathcal{H}_1 \arrow[r, "S"] & \mathcal{H}\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

  is commutative.

Then we have $(\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} + \rho(\mathcal{A}))^\times = (\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} + \rho(\mathcal{A})) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\times$.

Proof. After adjoining a unit element to $\mathcal{A}$ if such an element does not exist, we see that the conclusion reduces to $\rho(\mathcal{A})^\times = \rho(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\times$. Since ρ is a faithful representation, the latter equality is equivalent to the fact that for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ if and only if $\rho(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\times$. In fact, we have

$$a \in \mathcal{A}^\times \iff \rho_0(a) \in C^*(\mathcal{A})^\times \iff \rho_0(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)^\times \iff \rho(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\times,$$

where the first equivalence follows since $\mathcal{A}$ is an involutive symmetric Banach algebra (see for instance [Bi10, Prop. 7.5]), the second equivalence relies on the fact that every C^*-algebra of operators is inverse closed, and the third equivalence is a direct consequence of the commutative diagram in the statement. ☐

3. Integral operators on vector-valued functions

Setting 3.1. We shall work in a setting involving the following basic ingredients:

1. G stands for a unimodular locally compact topological group with a fixed Haar measure denoted by $\mathrm{d}x$.
2. C is a unital C^*-algebra of operators on some complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$.

☐

Notation 3.2. For every complex Banach space $\mathcal{Y}$ and every $p \in [1, \infty)$ we denote by $L^{\mathbf{1}}(G, Y)$ the Banach space consisting of the equivalence classes of complex-valued, Bochner p-integrable functions on G.

If $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{C}$, we denote simply $L^p(G, \mathbb{C}) = L^p(G)$, as usual. ☐

Definition 3.3. If $K\colon G \times G \to C$ is a Bochner measurable function, then we define $\|K\|_{\mathcal{K}(G,C)}$ as the infimum of the norms $\|\beta\|_{L^1(G)}$ for $\beta \in L^1(G)$ such that $\|K(x, y)\| \le |\beta(xy^{-1})|$ for a.e. $x, y \in G$. If there does not exist any function β satisfying the latter condition, then we set $\|K\|_{\mathcal{K}(G,C)} = \infty$. We then consider the space

$$\mathcal{K}(G, C) := \{K\colon G \times G \to C \mid K \text{ is Bochner measurable and } \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}(G,C)} < \infty\}$$

and the injective linear mapping

$$\mathcal{K}(G, C) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)), \quad K \mapsto T_K, \tag{3.1}$$

where T_K is the integral operator on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)$ defined by the integral kernel K, that is,

$$(T_K f)(x) = \int_G K(x, y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y$$

for every $f \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)$.

We denote by $\star$ both the usual composition of integral kernels, that is,

$$(K_1 \star K_2)(x,z) = \int\limits_G K_1(x,y)K_2(y,z)\mathrm{d}y \text{ for } x,z \in G,$$

and the convolution operation

$$(\beta_1 \star \beta_2)(x) = \int \beta_1(xy^{-1})\beta_2(y)\mathrm{d}y \text{ for } x \in G$$

for $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in L^1(G)$. Moreover, we denote $K^*(x,y) := K(y,x)^*$ for any integral kernel $K \colon G \times G \to C$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. It easily follows by the results of [GW04] (see also [BC08a]) that

$$(\forall K \in \mathcal{K}(G,C)) \quad \|T_K\| \le \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}(G,C)}.$$

Moreover, the space of C-valued integral kernels $\mathcal{K}(G,C)$ is an involutive associative Banach algebra with a faithful contractive $*$-representation given by the mapping (3.1). This will be called the *canonical representation* of $\mathcal{K}(G,C)$, and it implicitly depends on the realization of the C^*-algebra C as an operator algebra on $\mathcal{H}_0$. $\square$

Remark 3.5. Let $\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)$ be the unital C^*-algebra consisting of the right uniformly continuous bounded C-valued functions on G. That is, if we define $(\alpha_x f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ for arbitrary $x,y \in G$ and any continuous function $f \colon G \to C$, then we have $f \in \mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)$ if and only if $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{\|f(x)\| \mid x \in G\} < \infty$ and $\lim\limits_{x \to \mathbf{1}} \|\alpha_x f - f\|_\infty = 0$.

Then it is clear that $(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha)$ is a C^*-dynamical system. For any $f \in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha)$ and $x \in G$ we have $f(x) \in \mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)$, hence we can define $f(x,y) := (f(x))(y) \in C$ for almost every $y \in G$.

There exists a faithful $*$-representation

$$\pi \colon \mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)), \quad \pi(f)\xi = f(\cdot)\xi(\cdot)$$

and the corresponding π-regular representation

$$\Pi \colon L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)))$$

can be described by the formula

$$(\Pi(f)\xi)(x,z) = \int\limits_G \underbrace{f(y,xz)}_{\in C}\underbrace{\xi(y^{-1}x,z)}_{\in \mathcal{H}_0}\mathrm{d}y \tag{3.2}$$

for $x,z \in G$, $f \in L^1(G, \mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)$, and $\xi \in L^2(G, L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)) \simeq L^2(G \times G, \mathcal{H}_0)$. $\square$

The following statement recovers [FGL08, Prop. 1] in the case of the discrete groups.

Proposition 3.6. *If the group G is unimodular, then the following assertions hold:*

1. *There exists an isometric $*$-homomorphism*

   $$R \colon L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha) \to \mathcal{K}(G,C), \quad (Rf)(x,y) = f(xy^{-1}, x).$$
2. *For every $K \in \operatorname{Ran} R$ and $x,y \in G$ we have $(R^{-1}K)(x,y) = K(y, x^{-1}y)$.*
3. *If G is a discrete group, then $\operatorname{Ran} R = \mathcal{K}(G,C)$.*

(4) *We have* $\operatorname{Ran} R \supseteq \mathcal{K}(G,C) \cap \mathcal{C}(G \times G, C)$ *if and only if the group* G *is either compact or discrete.*

Proof. First note that for $f \in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha)$ and $x, y \in G$ we have

$$\|Rf(x,y)\|_C = \|f(xy^{-1}, x)\|_C \le \|f(xy^{-1})\|_{\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)}.$$

Since $\|f(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)} \in L^1(G)$, we see that $Rf \in \mathcal{K}(G,C)$ and

$$\|Rf\|_{\mathcal{K}(G,C)} \le \int\limits_G \|f(z)\|_{\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)} \mathrm{d}z = \|f\|_{L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)}.$$

Moreover, since the group G is unimodular, we get by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} R(f^*)(x,y) &= f^*(xy^{-1}, x) = f((xy^{-1})^{-1}, (xy^{-1})^{-1}x)^* = f(yx^{-1}, y)^* \\ &= (Rf)^*(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

When applied for the C^*-dynamical system $(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha)$, formula (2.1) gives the following expression for the product in $L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha)$:

$$(f \star h)(x,z) = \int\limits_G f(y,z) h(y^{-1}x, y^{-1}z) \mathrm{d}y.$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} (R(f \star h))(x,z) &= (f \star h)(xz^{-1}, x) \\ &= \int\limits_G f(y,x) h(y^{-1}xz^{-1}, y^{-1}x) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int\limits_G f(xv^{-1}, x) h(vz^{-1}, v) \mathrm{d}v \\ &= \int\limits_G (Rf)(x,v)(Rh)(v,z) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= (Rf \star Rh)(x,z). \end{aligned}$$

So far we have proved that $R \colon L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha) \to \mathcal{K}(G,C)$ is a contractive $*$-homomorphism. It is clear from the definition that $\operatorname{Ker} R = \{0\}$.

It is also easily checked that $(R^{-1}K)(x,y) = K(y, x^{-1}y)$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(G,C)$. If $\beta \in L^1(G)$ is an arbitrary function with $\|K(v,w)\| \le |\beta(vw^{-1})|$ for $v, w \in G$, then $\|(R^{-1}K)(x,y)\| \le |\beta(x)|$ for $x \in G$, hence $\|R^{-1}K\|_{L^1(G,\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)} \le \|\beta\|_{L^1(G)}$. Therefore $\|R^{-1}K\|_{L^1(G,\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)} \le \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}(G,C)}$, and in view of what we have already proved, it follows that R is an isometry. This completes the proof of Assertions (1)–(2).

For Assertion (3) we just have to use the fact that if the group G is discrete, then $\mathcal{RUC}_b(G) = \ell^\infty(G)$.

For Assertion (4), it is well-known that if G is either discrete or compact, then we have $\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C) = \mathcal{C}(G,C) \cap \ell^\infty(G,C)$. If G is compact, then we obtain a natural linear inclusion map

$$\mathcal{C}(G \times G, C) = \mathcal{C}(G \times G, C) \cap \ell^\infty(G \times G, C) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C), G, \alpha)$$

and it is easily seen that $R(\mathcal{C}(G \times G, C)) = \mathcal{C}(G \times G, C)$ hence, by applying R to the above inclusion, we obtain $\mathcal{C}(G \times G, C) \subseteq \operatorname{Ran} R$. Since $\operatorname{Ran} R \subseteq \mathcal{K}(G,C)$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}(G\times G,C)\cap\mathcal{K}(G,C)\subseteq\operatorname{Ran}R$. On the other hand, if G is discrete, then the assertion follows by Assertion (3)

Conversely, if we have $\operatorname{Ran}R\supseteq\mathcal{K}(G,C)\cap\mathcal{C}(G\times G,C)$, then

$$\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)=\mathcal{C}(G,C)\cap\ell^\infty(G,C). \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, the inclusion $R(L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha))\supseteq\mathcal{K}(G,C)\cap\mathcal{C}(G\times G,C)$ implies $L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)\supseteq R^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(G,C)\cap\mathcal{C}(G\times G,C))$. For arbitrary $\phi\in\mathcal{C}(G,\mathbb{C})\cap L^1(G,\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi\in\mathcal{C}(G,C)\cap\ell^\infty(G,C)$ let us define $K(x,y)=\phi(xy^{-1})\psi(x)$ for all $x,y\in G$. Then $K\in\mathcal{K}(G,C)\cap\mathcal{C}(G\times G,C)$, hence $R^{-1}K\in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)$, that is, $\phi\otimes\psi\in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)$. If we pick $\phi\in\mathcal{C}(G,\mathbb{C})\cap L^1(G,\mathbb{C})$ with $\int_G\phi\neq 0$ and integrate the function $\phi\otimes\psi=\phi(\cdot)\psi\in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)$ then the integral of that function belongs to $\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C)$, while on the other hand the integral is equal to $(\int_G\phi)\psi$, hence $\psi\in\mathcal{RUC}_b(G)$. Since $\psi\in\mathcal{C}(G,C)\cap\ell^\infty(G,C)$ is arbitrary, we obtain (3.3).

Since the C^*-algebra C is unital, it is straightforward to show that (3.3) implies $\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,\mathbb{C})=\mathcal{C}(G,\mathbb{C})\cap\ell^\infty(G,\mathbb{C})$, and then it follows by [CR66, Th. 2.8] along with [Ki62, Cor. 2] that the group G is either discrete or compact. This completes the proof. □

We now show that the isometric $*$-homomorphism constructed in Proposition 3.6 can be used to establish a close relationship between the π-regular representation Π described in Remark 3.5 and the canonical representation introduced in Remark 3.4. The following statement recovers [FGL08, Prop. 3] in the case of the discrete groups.

Proposition 3.7. *If G is a unimodular group, then there exists a unitary operator*

$$S\colon L^2(G\times G,\mathcal{H}_0)\simeq L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)\bar{\otimes}L^2(G)\to L^2(G,L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))\simeq L^2(G\times G,\mathcal{H}_0)$$

such that $(S\xi)(x,z)=\xi(xz,z)$ for $x,z\in G$ and $\xi\in L^2(G\times G,\mathcal{H}_0)$. Moreover, for every $f\in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)\bar{\otimes}L^2(G) \arrow[r, "S"] \arrow[d, "T_{R(f)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G)}"'] & L^2(G,L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)) \arrow[d, "T_{R(f)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G)}"] \\
L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)\bar{\otimes}L^2(G) \arrow[r, "S"] & L^2(G,L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Proof. It is easily seen that the operator S in the statement is unitary. Moreover, for $f\in L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)$ and $\xi\in L^2(G\times G,\mathcal{H}_0)$ we have

$$((T_{R(f)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G)})\xi)(v,u)=\int\limits_G f(vw^{-1},v)\xi(w,u)\mathrm{d}w=\int\limits_G f(y,v)\eta(y^{-1}v,u)\mathrm{d}y,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}(S(T_{R(f)}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G)})\xi)(x,z)&=((T_{R(f)}\otimes\mathrm{id})\xi)(xz,z)=\int\limits_G f(y,xz)\xi(y^{-1}xz,z)\mathrm{d}y\\&=\int\limits_G f(y,xz)(S\xi)(y^{-1}x,z)\mathrm{d}y=(\Pi(f)S\xi)(x,z),\end{aligned}$$

where the latter equality follows from (3.2). □

Theorem 3.8. *Let the group G be unimodular, amenable, and rigidly symmetric, and denote $\mathcal{A}_{G,C} := \{T_K \mid K \in \operatorname{Ran} R\}$. Then $\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)} + \mathcal{A}_{G,C}$ is an inverse-closed involutive subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))$. If moreover G is a discrete group, then $\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)} \in \mathcal{A}_{G,C} = \{T_K \mid K \in \mathcal{K}(G,C)\}$.*

Proof. Let us define

$$\rho\colon L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0)), \quad f \mapsto T_{R(f)}.$$

Since the group G is unimodular, it follows by Proposition 3.6 and Remark 3.4 that the mapping ρ is a continuous injective $*$-homomorphism.

Moreover, since G is a rigidly symmetric group, Corollary 2.5 shows that the covariance algebra $L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)$ is a symmetric involutive Banach algebra. On the other hand, the universal enveloping C^*-algebra $C^*(L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha))$ is isomorphic to the norm-closure of the range of the regular representation

$$\Pi\colon L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G\times G,\mathcal{H}_0)),$$

since G is an amenable group; see for instance [Wi07, Th. 7.13]. The corresponding canonical homomorphism

$$\rho_0\colon L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha) \to C^*(L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha)), \quad f \mapsto \Pi(f)$$

is the one induced by Π.

We have thus checked that the hypothesis of Lemma 2.8 is satisfied, and then the first part of the conclusion follows since $\mathcal{A}_{G,C} = \rho(L^1(\mathcal{RUC}_b(G,C),G,\alpha))$. For the second assertion we use Proposition 3.6(4). □

Example 3.9. The hypothesis of Theorem 3.8 is satisfied if G is a nilpotent locally compact group. It was already noted in Definition 2.1 that such a group is rigidly symmetric by [Po92, Cor. 6]. Moreover, every nilpotent group is amenable; see for instance [Pa88, Prop. (0.15)–(0.16)].

On the other hand, as noted in [FGL08], every discrete finitely generated group of polynomial growth is (unimodular and) amenable and rigidly symmetric. □

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and assume that we have an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus\limits_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ whose summands are isomorphic to each other, were Γ is a discrete finitely generated group of polynomial growth. Denote by $P_\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and define*

$$\mathcal{B} := \{S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid (\exists \beta \in \ell^1(\Gamma) \mid \|P_{\gamma_1} S P_{\gamma_2}\| \le \beta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1}} \text{ for } \gamma_1,\gamma_2 \in \Gamma\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and we have $\mathcal{B}^\times = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\times$.

Proof. Since the Hilbert spaces in the family $\{\mathcal{H}_\gamma\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ are isomorphic to each other, we may actually assume that there exists some complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ such that $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \mathcal{H}_0$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\Gamma)\bar{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_0 = \ell^2(\gamma,\mathcal{H}_0)$. It follows by Example 3.9 that the group Γ is amenable and rigidly symmetric, hence Theorem 3.8 applies with $C = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, and the conclusion follows. □

Remark 3.11. The special case Corollary 3.10 when Γ is an abelian group is also a special case of [Ba97, Cor. 1 to Th. 2]. On the other hand, the special case of Corollary 3.10 when $\dim \mathcal{H}_\gamma = 1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ was obtained in [FGL08]. □

4. Larger inverse-closed algebras of integral operators

Setting 4.1. In this section we assume that G is a locally compact group with a discrete, amenable, rigidly symmetric subgroup Γ of G, and an open relatively compact subset Q with nonempty interior, satisfying the following conditions:

1. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$, then $\gamma_1 Q \cap \gamma_2 Q = \emptyset$.
2. The Haar measure of the complement of $\bigcup\limits_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \gamma Q$ in G is zero.
3. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have $\gamma Q \gamma^{-1} \subseteq Q$.

$\square$

Example 4.2. The above conditions are satisfied if $G = (\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. See [FS10] for some examples of noncommutative groups satisfying these conditions. $\square$

Definition 4.3. We define the *Wiener amalgam space*

$$W(G) := \{\beta \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(G) \mid \|\beta\|_{W(G)} := \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|\beta\chi_{\gamma Q}\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where $\chi_{\gamma Q}$ is the characteristic function of the set γQ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Definition 4.3 is equivalent to the usual definition of the Wiener amalgam space $W(L^\infty, L^1)$ on the locally compact group G, as a direct consequence of the results of [Fe83]; see also [Do89], [Re71], and [Re89]. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *The Wiener amalgam space $W(G)$ is a right ideal of the convolution algebra $L^1(G)$, that is, if $\beta_1 \in L^1(G)$ and $\beta_2 \in W(G)$, then $\beta_1 * \beta_2 \in W(G)$.*

Proof. Use [FG89b, Th. 7.1(a)]. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital associative algebra and $\mathcal{J}$ is a right ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ (that is, $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$), then $(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J})^\times = (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{A}^\times$.*

Proof. To prove that $(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}+\mathcal{J})^\times \supseteq (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}+\mathcal{J})\cap\mathcal{A}^\times$, let $a \in (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}+\mathcal{J})\cap\mathcal{A}^\times$ arbitrary. Then there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $a = \alpha\mathbf{1} + a_0$ and $ba = ba = \mathbf{1}$. Then $b(\alpha\mathbf{1} + a_0) = \mathbf{1}$, hence $\alpha b = \mathbf{1} - ba_0 \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}$.

Now, if $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{A}$, then the assertion is trivial. Otherwise, $\mathcal{J}$ cannot contain invertible elements, hence $\alpha \neq 0$, and then the above relation implies $b (= a^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}$, hence $a \in (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J})^\times$. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a unital associative Banach algebra. Assume that $\mathcal{A}_0$ is another associative Banach algebra such that there exists a continuous injective homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{A}_0$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{J}$ be a right ideal of $\mathcal{A}_0$ with the following properties:*

- *$\mathcal{J}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_0$;*
- *$(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J})^\times = (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$.*

Then we have $(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times = (\mathbb{C}^*\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}^\times = (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$.

Proof. To prove that we have "$\supseteq$", let $\alpha\mathbf{1} + a_0 \in (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$. By using the reasoning of [BB12, Th. 2.13(3)] we may assume $\alpha \neq 0$, and then we may (and do) assume $\alpha = 1$.

The ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_0$, hence there exists $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\|\bar{a} - a_0\|_{\mathcal{A}_0} < 1/2$. Then $\mathbf{1} + (a_0 - \bar{a}) \in (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times$, so we can consider the element

$$k := (\mathbf{1}+(a_0-\bar{a}))^{-1}(\mathbf{1}+a_0) = (\mathbf{1}+(a_0-\bar{a}))^{-1}(\mathbf{1}+(a_0-\bar{a})+\bar{a}) = \mathbf{1}+(\mathbf{1}+(a_0-\bar{a}))^{-1}\bar{a}.$$

Here $k \in (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times$ and $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{J}$, hence actually

$$k \in (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times \cap (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}) = (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J})^\times,$$

where the latter equality follows by Lemma 4.6 for $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0$.

Thus $k^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J}$, and then we get by the definition of k that

$$(\mathbf{1} + a_0)^{-1} = k^{-1}(\mathbf{1} + (a_0 - \bar{a}))^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0.$$

Therefore $(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times \supseteq (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$, and we are done. □

Theorem 4.8. *If we define*

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \{T_K \mid K \in \mathcal{K}(G, \mathbb{C})\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$$

then we have $(\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G)} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times = (\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{id}_{L^2(G)} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))^\times$.

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{K}_W(G, \mathbb{C})$ the set of all kernels $K \in \mathcal{K}(G, \mathbb{C})$ such that there exists $\beta \in W(G)$ satisfying the condition $\|K(x, y)\| \le |\beta(xy^{-1})|$ for a.e. $x, y \in G$. Then the space of operators

$$\mathcal{J} = \{T_K \mid K \in \mathcal{K}_W(G, C)\},$$

is a right ideal of $\mathcal{A}_0$ as a straightforward consequence of Lemma 4.5. Moreover, one can check that $\mathcal{J}$ is also a right ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ from Corollary 3.10, and then by Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 we get $(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times = (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}^\times$. Now by using Corollary 3.10 we get $(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0)^\times = (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_0) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^\times$. □

Remark 4.9. In the special case when G is an abelian group, the above Theorem 4.8 recovers some results obtained earlier in [Ku99] and [Ku01]. □

Problem 4.10. Prove a version of Theorem 3.8 that involves an enlarged space of integral kernels which should not be necessarily Bochner integrable, but rather strongly integrable as in the papers [GW04], [BC08a], and [BC08b]. □

Acknowledgment. This research has been partially supported by the Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0131. The second-named author also acknowledges partial support from the Project MTM2010-16679, DGI-FEDER, of the MCYT, Spain.

References

[Ba97] A.G. Baskakov, Asymptotic estimates for elements of matrices of inverse operators, and harmonic analysis. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **38** (1997), no. 1, 14–28, i; translation in *Siberian Math. J.* **38** (1997), no. 1, 10–22.

[BB12] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Algebras of symbols associated with the Weyl calculus for Lie group representations. *Monatsh. Math.* **167** (2012), no. 1, 13–33.

[Bi10] H. Biller, Continuous inverse algebras with involution. *Forum Math.* **22** (2010), no. 6, 1033-1059.

[BC08a] O. Blasco, J.M. Calabuig, Vector-valued functions integrable with respect to bilinear maps. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **12** (2008), no. 9, 2387–2403.

[BC08b] O. Blasco, J.M. Calabuig, Hölder inequality for functions that are integrable with respect to bilinear maps. *Math. Scand.* **102** (2008), no. 1, 101–110.

[CR66] W.W. Comfort, K.A. Ross, Pseudocompactness and uniform continuity in topological groups. *Pacific J. Math.* **16** (1966), 483–496.

[Do89] T. Dobler, *Wiener amalgam spaces over locally compact groups.* Master's Thesis, University of Vienna, 1989.

[FS10] B. Farrell, T. Strohmer, Inverse-closedness of a Banach algebra of integral operators on the Heisenberg group. *J. Operator Theory* **64** (2010), no. 1, 189–205.

[Fe83] H.G. Feichtinger, Banach convolution algebras of Wiener type. In: *Functions, Series, Operators* (Budapest, 1980), Vol. I– II, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 35, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 509–524.

[FG89b] H.G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig, Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. II. *Monatsh. Math.* **108** (1989), no. 2-3, 129–148.

[FGL08] G. Fendler, K. Gröchenig, M. Leinert, Convolution-dominated operators on discrete groups. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **61** (2008), no. 4, 493–509.

[GW04] M. Girardi, L. Weis, Integral operators with operator-valued kernels. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **290** (2004), no. 1, 190–212.

[Hu72] A. Hulanicki, On the spectrum of convolution operators on groups with polynomial growth. *Invent. Math.* **17** (1972), 135–142.

[Ki62] J.M. Kister, Uniform continuity and compactness in topological groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 37–40.

[Ku99] V.G. Kurbatov, *Functional-Differential Operators and Equations.* Mathematics and its Applications, 473. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.

[Ku01] V.G. Kurbatov, Some algebras of operators majorized by a convolution. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* **8** (2001), no. 3-4, 323–333.

[Le68] H. Leptin, Darstellungen verallgemeinerter L^1-Algebren. *Invent. Math.* **5** (1968), 192–215.

[LP79] H. Leptin, D. Poguntke, Symmetry and nonsymmetry for locally compact groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **33** (1979), no. 2, 119–134.

[Pa88] A.L.T. Paterson, *Amenability.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 29. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[Pe79] G.K. Pedersen, *C^*-algebras and Their Automorphism Groups.* London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc., London-New York, 1979.

[Po92] D. Poguntke, Rigidly symmetric L^1-group algebras. *Sem. Sophus Lie* **2** (1992), no. 2, 189–197.

[Re71] H. Reiter, *L^1-Algebras and Segal Algebras.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 231. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971

[Re89] H. Reiter, *Metaplectic groups and Segal algebras.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1382. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[Wi07] D.P. Williams, *Crossed Products of C^*-algebras.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 134. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `ingrid.beltita@gmail.com, Ingrid.Beltita@imar.ro`

E-mail address: `beltita@gmail.com, Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro`